Applicant:

Deborah Weissman-Berman

Serial No: 10/012,223

Page 6

## REMARKS

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action dated August 19, 2005.

In that Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-4, 6 and 8-11 under 35 U.S.C. \$102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Himmel. Claims 2, 5 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Himmel in view of DeLaHuerga. Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection of the claims and offers the foregoing amendments and following remarks in support thereof.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 11 have been amended. No new matter has been inserted. Claims 1-11 remain pending in the application. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the Examiner's rejections.

As previously argued Himmel acts as an intercepting agent to intercept a request made by a requesting client device for a file from a web server. (Col. 2, lines 28-30). Himmel intervenes between the wireless requesting device and the web server to interpret how the browser of the requesting device needs to see an Internet page. Himmel provides the required parameters so that the webpage shows up on the screen of the requesting device.

Thus, Himmel functions as interpreter at the server end to identify the client browser capabilities, which is provided in the header information (Col 2, lines 39-41). IF the needed browser information cannot be obtained, then a snooping operation can be performed at the requesting client device to retrieve the needed client device (browser) information. (Col 2, lines 41-44).

One of the preferred embodiments for Himmel is as sets of instructions 4-52 residing in the random access memory of one or more computer systems (Col. 4, lines 14-17). The Himmel intervention includes a server application that intercepts or

Applicant:

Deborah Weissman-Berman

Serial No:

10/012,223

Page 7

otherwise handles an HTML request. This intervention is a "client-smart" server application that can snoop out the browser requirements, find the correct page with those requirements and translate the page and return it to the client computer for viewing. Thus, Himmel merely matches web content to browser requirements.

Himmel does not generate a discrete output page based on information inputted from a mobile wireless device responsive to a discrete input page.

Applicant's claimed invention is not an interception agent. The Examiner's focusing only on language on page 5, line 20-28, ignores the remaining seventeen pages of invention description where it is discussed in detail that the wireless device communicates directly with the server which performs the calculations based on information directly received from the wireless device. Nothing is placed between the client's device and the server with Applicant's invention. The transmission is directly sent from the client's device to the server.

The secondary references fail to correct the above noted deficiencies.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully traverses the Section 102 and 103 rejections and respectfully requests that such rejections be withdrawn.

Applicant:

Deborah Weissman-Berman

Serial No:

10/012,223

Page 8

Applicant has completely responded to the Office Action dated August 19, 2005. Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Any additional charges, including Extensions of Time, please bill our Deposit Account No. 503180.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel S. Polley, Reg. No. 34,902

Daniel S. Polley, P.A.

1215 East Broward Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Phone: (954) 234-2417 Fax: (954) 234-2506

Email: dan@danpolley.com

## CUSTOMER NO. 44538

I:\1000\1009 Dr. Weissman-Berman\Patent Amendments\6806 (WDIE-1st Amend)